

An Effort Towards The Generalized Hypergeometric Polynomials of Two Variables

Sanjay Kumar Suman¹, Brijendra Kumar Singh²

¹(Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics J.P. University, Chapra)
(Email:-sanjayji1901@gmail.com)

²(Department of Mathematics J.P. University, Chapra Bihar India)
(Email: - brijendrakumarsingh111956@gmail.com)

Abstract: In the present paper, we unify the generalized Hypergeometric polynomial Set $S_n(x, y)$ by means of a generating function, which contains Appell function of two variables in the notation of Burchnall and Chaundy [4]. This polynomial Set covers as many as forty-one orthogonal and non-orthogonal polynomials and have been deduced as particular cases.

The newly defined generalized Hypergeometric polynomial Set $S_n(x,y)$ may be immense use in new phase of Mathematics relvent to Physics, Chemistry, Engineering and Social sciences .

AMS Subject Classification: Special function-33Cxx

Keywords: Hypergeometric polynomial, Orthogonal polynomial, Generating function.

1. Introduction

We study and define the generalized hypergeometric polynomial set $S_n(x, y)$ by means of the generating functions,

$$e^{\lambda y t} F \left[\begin{matrix} (G_r); \\ \lambda_1 y^{e_1} t^{e_1} \\ (H_s); \end{matrix} \right] \times F \left[\begin{matrix} (a_p); (A_h); (C_u) \\ \lambda_3 x^{e_3} t, \lambda_2 x^{e_2} y^{-e_2} t^{e_2} \\ (b_q); (B_k); (D_v) \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{n, e_1; e_2; e_3; (G_r); (a_p); (A_h); (C_u)}^{\lambda; \lambda_1; \lambda_2; \lambda_3; (H_s); (b_q); (B_k); (D_v)} (x, y) t^n \quad \dots (1.1)$$

Where $\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ are real and e_1, e_2, e_3 are positive integers.

The left hand side of (1.1) contains Appell function of two variables in the notation of Burchnall and Chaundy [4]. The polynomial set contains a number of parameters, for simplicity, we shall denote.

$$S_{n, e_1; e_2; e_3; (G_r); (a_p); (A_h); (C_u)}^{\lambda; \lambda_1; \lambda_2; \lambda_3; (H_s); (b_q); (B_k); (D_v)} (x, y) \text{ by } S_n(x, y).$$

Where n denote the order of the polynomial set.

NOTATIONS:

- (i) $(m) = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m.$
- (ii) $(A_p) = A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_p.$
- (iii) $[(A_p)] = A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots, A_p.$
- (iv) $[(A_p)]_n = (A_1)_n (A_2)_n (A_3)_n \dots (A_p)_n.$
- (v) $\Delta(a, b) = \frac{b}{a}, \frac{b+1}{a}, \dots, \frac{b+a-1}{a}.$
- (vi) $\Gamma(a \pm b) = \Gamma(a+b)\Gamma(a-b).$
- (vii) $\Gamma_* \Gamma_*(a+b) = \Gamma(a+b)\Gamma(a+b).$
- (viii) $K = \frac{[(a_p)]_n [(A_h)]_n (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^n}{[(b_q)]_n [(B_k)]_n n!}$

$$K_{(m)} = \frac{(-n)_m (1-(b_q)-n)_m (1-(B_k)-n)_m \lambda^m y^m (-1)^{(b-k+p-p)}}{(1-(a_p)-n)_m (1-(A_n)-n)_m m!}$$

$$K_{(m_1)} = \frac{\Delta_{m_1}[e_1; -n+m] \Delta_{m_1}[e_1; 1-(b_q)-n+m]}{\Delta_{m_1}[e_1; 1-(a_p)-n+m] \Delta_{m_1}[e_1; 1-(A_h)-n+m]}$$

$$\times \frac{\Delta_{m_1}[e_1; 1-(B_k)-n+m] [(G_r)_{m_1} y^{e_1 m_1} \lambda_1^{m_1}]}{[(H_s)]_{m_1} m_1! (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{e_1 m_1}} \times (-e_1)^{e_1(h-k+q-p+1)m_1}$$

$$K_{(m_2)} = \frac{\Delta_{m_2}[e_2; -n+m+e_1 m_1] \Delta_{m_2}[e_2-1; 1-(b_q)-n+m+e_1 m_1]}{\Delta_{m_2}[e_2-1; 1-(a_p)-n+m+e_1 m_1]}$$

$$\times \frac{\Delta_{m_2}[e_2; 1-(B_k)-n+m+e_1 m_1] [(C_u)]_{m_2} (\lambda_2 x^{e_2})^{m_2}}{\Delta_{m_2}[e_2; 1-(A_h)-n+m+e_1 m_1] [(D_v)]_{m_2} m_2!}$$

$$\times \frac{\{- (e_2 - 1)\}^{(e_2-1)(q-p)m_2} (-e_2)^{e_2(k-h+1)m_2}}{(\lambda_3 x^{e_3} y)^{e_2 m_2}}$$

2. Theorem

If the Hypergeometric polynomial set $S_n(x, y)$ is defined by (1.1) then we can write

$$S_n(x, y) = \sum_{\substack{m, m_1, m_2 > 0 \\ m + e_1 m_1 + e_2 m_2 \leq n}} \frac{\Delta(m_1, m_2)}{(n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2)!} \dots (2.1)$$

Where $\Delta(m_1, m_2) = \frac{[(a_p)]_{n-m-e_1 m_1-(e_2-1)m_2}}{[(b_q)]_{n-m-e_1 m_1-(e_2-1)m_2}}$

$$\times \frac{[(A_h)]_{n-m-e_1 m_1-e_2 m_2} [(G_r)]_{m_1} [(C_u)]_{m_2}}{[(B_k)]_{n-m-e_1 m_1-e_2 m_2} [(H_s)]_{m_1} [(D_v)]_{m_2}}$$

$$\times \frac{x^{m_2 e_2} \lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1} \lambda_2^{m_2} (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{n-m-e_1 m_1-e_2 m_2} y^{m+e_1 m_1+e_2 m_2}}{m! m_1! m_2! (n-m-e_1 m_1-e_2 m_2)!}$$

Proof : On using the expansion [4]

$$F \left[\begin{matrix} (a_r); (A_p); (\alpha_u) \\ (x, y) \\ (b_s); (B_q); (\beta_v) \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(a_r)]_{n+k} [(A_p)]_n [(\alpha_u)]_k x^n y^k}{[(b_s)]_{n+k} [(B_q)]_n [(\beta_v)]_k n! k!} \dots (2.2)$$

Now from (1.1), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(x, y) t^n &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m, m_1, m_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda y t)^m [(G_r)]_{m_1} (\lambda_1 y^{e_1} t^{e_1})^{m_1}}{[(H_s)]_{m_1} m_1!} \\ &\times \frac{[(a_p)]_{n+m_2} [(A_h)]_n [(C_u)]_{m_2} (\lambda_3 x^{e_3} t)^n (\lambda_2 x^{e_2} y^{-e_2} t^{e_2})^{m_2}}{[(b_q)]_{n+m_2} [(B_k)]_n [(D_v)]_{m_2} n! m_2!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m, m_1, m_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(a_p)]_{n+m_2} [(A_h)]_n [(C_u)]_{m_2} \lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1}}{[(b_q)]_{n+m_2} [(B_k)]_n [(D_v)]_{m_2} m! m_1!} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \frac{\lambda_2^{m_2} x^{e_2 m_2} y^{m+e_1 m_1} (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^n t^{n+m+e_1 m_1+e_2 m_2}}{y^{e_2 m_2} m_2! n!} \\ & = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{m, m_1, m_2=0 \\ m+e_1 m_1+e_2 m_2 \leq n}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(m_1, m_2) t^{n+m+e_1 m_1+e_2 m_2}}{n!} \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2.3)$$

Now, making use of the Lemma

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A(k, n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{m} \right]} A(k, n - mk)$$

where m is the positive integer. This Lemma is generalized by Rainville [10; P. 57 (37)]

In general

$$\sum_{\substack{m, m_1, m_2 \geq 0 \\ m+e_1 m_1+e_2 m_2 \leq n}} \bar{\Delta}(m_1, m_2) \neq \sum_{m_1=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{e_1} \right]} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\left[\frac{n-e_1 m_1}{e_2} \right]} \bar{\Delta}(m_1, m_2)$$

However $\bar{\Delta}(m_1, m_2)$ replaced by $\frac{\bar{\Delta}(m_1, m_2)}{(n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2)!}$ we may have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m, m_1, m_2 \geq 0} \frac{\bar{\Delta}(m_1, m_2)}{(n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2)!} \\ & = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m_1=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m}{e_1} \right]} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\left[\frac{n-e_1 m_1}{e_2} \right]} \frac{\bar{\Delta}(m_1, m_2)}{(n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2)!} \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2.4)$$

Hence, we arrive at

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(x, y) t^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{m, m_1, m_2 \geq 0 \\ m+e_1 m_1+e_2 m_2 \leq n}}^{\infty} \frac{\Delta(m_1, m_2)}{(n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2)!} t^n$$

On comparing the co-efficient of t^n from both sides, we get (2.1)

Due to the presence of $(n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2)!$ in the denominator, the series (2.1) may be separated by adding some extra terms having values zero as follows:

$$\sum_{\substack{m, m_1, m_2 \geq 0 \\ m + e_1 m_1 + e_2 m_2 \leq n}} \frac{\Delta(m_1, m_2)}{(n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2)!}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m}{e_1} \right]} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m-e_1 m_1}{e_2} \right]} \frac{\Delta(m_1, m_2)}{(n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2)!}$$

Hence, we have

$$S_n(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m}{e_1} \right]} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m-e_1 m_1}{e_2} \right]} \frac{[(a_p)]_{n-m-e_1 m_1-(e_2-1)m_2}}{[(b_q)]_{n-m-e_1 m_1-(e_2-1)m_2}}$$

$$\times \frac{[(A_h)]_{n-m-e_1 m_1-e_2 m_2} [(G_r)]_{m_1} [(C_u)]_{m_2} \lambda^m \lambda_1^{m_1}}{[(B_k)]_{n-m-e_1 m_1-e_2 m_2} [(H_s)]_{m_1} [(D_v)]_{m_2} m! m_1!}$$

$$\times \frac{(\lambda_2 x^{e_2})^{m_2} (\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{n-m-e_1 m_1-e_2 m_2} y^{m+e_1 m_1-e_2 m_2}}{m_2! (n - m - e_1 m_1 - e_2 m_2)!} \dots (2.5)$$

Hypergeometric forms:

Operating the parameters m, m_1 and m_2 we get various hypergeometric forms of (2.5), which are given in the forms of corollaries :

Corollary-I: For $e_2 > 1$, we get

$$S_n(x, y) = K \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m}{e_1} \right]} K(m) K(m_1)$$

$$\times F \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(e_1; n + m + e_1 m_1), \Delta(e_2 - 1; 1 - (b_q) - n + m + e_1 m_1), \\ \Delta(e_2; 1 - (B_k) - n + m + e_1 m_1), C_u; \\ \frac{\lambda_2 x^{e_2} (-e_2)^{e_2(h-k+1)} \{-(e_1 - 1)\}^{(e_2-1)(q-p)}}{(\lambda_3 x^{e_3} y)^{e_2}} \\ \Delta(e_2 - 1; 1 - (a_p) - n + m + e_1 m_1), \\ \Delta(e_2; 1 - (A_h) - n + m + e_1 m_1), (D_v), \end{matrix} \right] \dots (2.6)$$

Corollary-II : For $e_2 = 1$, we have

$$S_n(x, y) = K \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_1=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m}{e_1} \right]} K(m) K(m_1)$$

$$\times F \left[\begin{matrix} -n + m + e_1 m_1, 1 - (B_k) - n + m + e_1 m_1, (C_u); \\ \frac{\lambda_2 x (-1)^{(h-k+1)}}{\lambda_3 x^{e_3} y} \\ 1 - (A_h) - n + m + e_1 m_1; (D_v), \end{matrix} \right] \dots (2.7)$$

Corollary-III: For $e_1 > 1$, we have

$$S_n(x, y) = K \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{m_2=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m-e_1 m_1}{e_2} \right]} K(m) K(m_2)$$

$$\times F \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(e_1; -n + m), \Delta(e_1; 1 - (b_q) - n + m), \\ \Delta(e_1; 1 - (B_k) - n + m), (G_r); \\ \frac{\lambda_1 y^{e_2} (-e_1)^{e_1(k-h+q-p+1)}}{(\lambda_3 x^{e_3})^{e_1}} \\ \Delta(e_1; 1 - (a_p) - n + m), \\ \Delta(e_1; 1 - (A_h) - n + m), (H_s), \end{matrix} \right] \dots (2.8)$$

Corollary-IV: For $e_1 = 1$, we have

$$S_n(x, y) = K \sum_{m_1=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m}{e_1} \right]} \sum_{m_2=0}^{\left[\frac{n-m-e_1 m_1}{e_2} \right]} K(m_1) K(m_2)$$

$$\times F \left[\begin{matrix} -n, 1 - (b_q) - n, 1 - (B_k) - n; \\ \lambda y (-1)^{h-k+q-p} \\ 1 - (a_p) - n, 1 - (A_h) - n; \end{matrix} \right] \dots (2.9)$$

Particular Cases of (2.6):

On specializing the various parameters involved in hypergeometric forms, a number of known and unknown polynomials can be obtained as particular cases of the set $S_n(x, y)$. Some of them, which are well known, are listed below:

(A) Separating the term corresponding to $\lambda=0$ and putting $r = 0 = s = \lambda_1$ in (2.6), we obtain a number of results on specializing

the remaining parameters :

(i) If we set $p = 0 = q = h = k; u = 1 = v = e_3; y = x; e_2 = m; \lambda_2 = \mu; \lambda_3 = v; C_1 = a_r, D_1 = b_s$, we have

$$(C)_n S_n(x, x) = \frac{(vx)^n}{n!} x^n {}_{m+r}F_s \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(m; -n), (a_r); \\ \mu \left(\frac{-m}{vx} \right)^m \\ (b_s); \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= A_n(x) \dots (2.10)$$

Where $A_n(x)$ are the generalized by Panda [9].

(ii) On making the substitution $p = 0 = q = h = k = u = v; e_3 = 1 = \lambda_3; \lambda_2 = h, y = x$, we have

$$J_n(x, x) = \frac{x^n}{n!} {}_mF_0 \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(m; -n); \\ \text{---}; \end{matrix} \quad h \left(\frac{-m}{x} \right)^m \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} g_n^m(x, h) \quad \dots (2.11)$$

Where $g_n^m(x, h)$ are the generalized polynomials defined by Gould-Hopper [5].

(iii) On setting $p = 0 = q = h = k = u = v; \lambda_2 = -1, e_2 = p; e_3 = 1 = \lambda_3; y = x$ and $x = py$, we arrive at

$$S_n(py, x) = \frac{(py)^n}{n!} {}_pF_0 \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(p; -n); \\ \text{---}; \end{matrix} \quad - \left(\frac{-1}{y} \right)^p \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} g_n^p(y) \quad \dots (2.12)$$

Where $g_n^p(y)$ are the Bragg polynomials [3].

(iv) On making the substitution $p = 0 = q = h = k = u = v; y = x; \lambda_3 = 1 = e_3 = e_1; e_2 = 2, \lambda_2 = -1; x = 3y$ we achieve

$$S_n(3y, x) = \frac{(3y)^n}{n!} {}_3F_0 \left[\begin{matrix} -\frac{n}{3}, -\frac{n+1}{3}, -\frac{n+2}{3}; \\ \text{---}; \end{matrix} \quad \frac{1}{y^3} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} h_n^*(y) \quad \dots (2.13)$$

where $h_n^*(y)$ are the Humbert polynomials [7].

(v) On taking $p = 0 = q = h = k; u = 1 = v = e_3; \lambda_3 = 2 = e_2; \lambda_2 = -1, C_1 = 1 + \alpha, D_1 = 1 + \beta, y = x$, we find

$$S_n(x, y) = \frac{(2x)^n}{n!} {}_3F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -\frac{n}{2}, -\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, 1 + \alpha; \\ 1 + \beta; \end{matrix} \quad -\frac{1}{x^2} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} g_n(x) \quad \dots (2.14)$$

Where $g_n(x)$ [10; P. 237 (26)] degenerates into the Hermite polynomials for $\beta = \alpha$.

(vi) On taking $p = 0 = q = h = k, r = h; u = 1 = v = e_3; \lambda_3 = 2 = e_2; \lambda_2 = -1, \alpha_1 = 1 + \alpha, \beta_1 = 1 + \beta, y = x$, we get

$$S_n(x, y) = \frac{(2x)^n}{n!} {}_3F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -\frac{n}{2}; -\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, 1 + \alpha; \\ 1 + \beta; \end{matrix} \right] - \frac{1}{x^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!} g_n(x) \quad \dots (2.15)$$

Where $g_n(x)$ [10; P. 237 (26)] degenerates into the Hermite polynomials for $\beta = \alpha$.

(vii) If we set $p = 0 = q = h = k = r = s; e_3 = 1 = x = y = e_1; \lambda_3 = -p; e_2 = p; \lambda_2 = x$, we achieve

$$S_n(1, 1) = \frac{(-p)^n}{n!} {}_{p+u}F_v \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(p; -n), \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_u; \\ \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \dots, \beta_v; \end{matrix} \right] x$$

$$= \frac{(-p)^n}{n!} B_n^p(x), \quad \dots (2.16)$$

Where $B_n^p(x)$ are the generalization of the Hermite polynomials by Brafman [2].

(viii) On making the substitution $p = 0 = q = h = k = r = s; e_2 = m, e_3 = 1 = e_1 = e; \lambda_3 = \delta; \lambda_2 = \lambda$ and replacing

x and y by $\frac{1}{x^\delta}$; we arrive at

$$S_n\left(\frac{1}{x^\sigma}, \frac{1}{x^\delta}\right) = \frac{(-\delta)^n x^{\frac{-nc}{\sigma}} x^{(\delta-1)n}}{n!} {}_{u+\delta}F_v \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(\delta; -n), \alpha_u; \\ (\beta_v); \end{matrix} \right] \lambda x^c$$

$$= \frac{(-\delta)^n x^{\frac{-nc}{\delta}}}{n!} F_n(x), \quad \dots (2.17)$$

where $F_n(x)$ are the polynomials defined by Shah [12].

(ix) If we take $e_2 = m, e_1 = 1 = e_3; \lambda_3 = v; \lambda_2 = \mu, p = 0 = q = r = s; B_k = \beta_q, A_h = \alpha_p, C_u = a_r, D_v = b_s$ we have

$$S_n(x, y) = \frac{[(\alpha_p)]_m (\nu x)^n}{[(\beta_q)]_n n!} {}_{mq+m+r}F_{mp+s} \left[\begin{matrix} \Delta(m; -n), \Delta(m; 1 - (\beta_q) - n), (a_r), \\ \mu(-m)^{m(q-p+1)} \\ (\nu xy)^m \\ \Delta(m; 1 - (\alpha_p) - n), (b_s); \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$= B_n(x, y) \quad \dots (2.18)$$

where $B_n(x, y)$ are the polynomials defined by Khanna [8].

(B) Separating the term corresponding to $m_1 = 0$ and putting $r = 0 = s = \lambda_1$ in (2.7), we obtain a number of results on specializing the remaining parameters.

(x) On Putting $h = 0 = k = u; v = 1 = n = \lambda_3; \lambda_2 = -1, D_1 = 1 + \alpha$ and $\frac{1}{y}$ for y , we achieve

$$S_n\left(1, \frac{1}{y}\right) = \frac{(1 + \alpha)_n}{n!} {}_1F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -n; \\ 1 + \alpha; \end{matrix} y\right] = L_n^{(\alpha)}(y) \quad \dots (2.19)$$

Where $L_n^{(\alpha)}(y)$ are the generalized Laguerre polynomials.

(xi) (a) On taking $h = 0 = u; k = 1 = v = e_3; \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} = \lambda_3$ and $x = \frac{x-1}{x+1} = y, B_1 = 1 + \alpha, D_1 = 1 + \beta$ in (2.7),

we get

$$(1 + \alpha)_n (1 + \beta)_n S_n(x, x) = \frac{(1 + x)^{-1} (1 + \beta)_n}{n!} \left(\frac{x-1}{2}\right)^n \times {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -n, -\alpha - n; \\ 1 + \beta; \end{matrix} \frac{x+1}{x-1}\right] = (x+1)^{-n} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \quad \dots (2.20)$$

Where $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ are the Jacobi polynomials.

(b) On putting $h = 0 = u; k = 1 = v = e_3; \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} = \lambda_3 B_1 = 1 + \beta; D_1 = 1 + \alpha$ and $\frac{x+1}{x-1}$ instead of x and y ,

we get

$$(1 + \alpha)_n (1 + \beta)_n S_n\left(\frac{x+1}{x-1}, \frac{x+1}{x-1}\right) = (x-1)^{-n} \left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)^n \frac{(1 + \alpha)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -n, -\beta - n; \\ 1 + \alpha; \end{matrix} \frac{x-1}{x+1}\right] = (x-1)^{-n} P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \quad \dots (2.21)$$

Where $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ are the Jacobi polynomials.

(xii) On taking $h = 0 = k; u = 1 = v = e_3 = \lambda_3 = x; \lambda_2 = -1, D_1 = 1, C_1 = -x$ and $\frac{1}{1 - e^{-\lambda}}$ for y and

then using [5; vol-I, P. 105], we get

$$n! S_n\left(1, \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\lambda}}\right) = e^{-n\lambda} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -n, -x; \\ 1; \end{matrix} 1 - e^{-\lambda}\right] = \phi_n(x; \lambda) \quad \dots (2.22)$$

where $\phi_n(x; \lambda)$ are the Gottlieb polynomials.

(xiii) On taking $h = 0 = k; \lambda_3 = 1 = e_3 = x; y = \frac{1}{y}$ and replace C_u by (a_p) and (D_v) by (b_q) , we get

$$n! S_n \left(1, \frac{1}{y} \right) = {}_{p+1}F_q \left[\begin{matrix} -n, (a_p); \\ y \end{matrix} ; \begin{matrix} (b_q); \end{matrix} \right] = {}_1F_1(-n, b; y) \quad \dots (2.23)$$

where ${}_1F_1(-n, b; y)$ are the Abdul-Halim and Al-Salam [1] polynomials.

(xiv) On making the substitutions $h = 0 = k; u = 1 = v = e_3 = x = \lambda_3; \lambda_2 = 1 - c, y = c; C_1 = -x, D_1 = \beta$, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta)_n S_n(1, c) &= \frac{(\beta)_n}{n!} {}_2F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, -x; \\ \beta; \end{matrix} ; 1 - \frac{1}{c} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} m_n(\alpha; \beta, c) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (2.24)$$

where $m_n(\alpha, \beta, c)$ are the Meixner polynomials.

(xv) On making the substitutions $h = 0 = k = u; e_3 = 1 = \lambda_3 = x; v = 2; D_1 = 1 + \alpha, D_2 = 1 + \beta; \lambda_2 = -1$ and $\frac{1}{x}$ for y , we get

$$S_n \left(1, \frac{1}{x} \right) = \frac{1}{n!} {}_1F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -n; \\ 1 + \alpha, 1 + \beta \end{matrix} ; x \right] = \frac{1}{n!} f_n(x) \quad \dots (2.25)$$

where $f_n(x)$ is related to Bateman function [10; P. 243 (4)].

(xvi) If we set $h = 0 = k; u = 1 = e_3 = \lambda_3 = x, \lambda_2 = -1; v = 2, C_1 = 1 + \beta, D_1 = 1, D_2 = 1 + \alpha$, and $\frac{1}{x}$ for y , we get

$$S_n \left(1, \frac{1}{x} \right) = \frac{1}{n!} {}_2F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} -n, 1 + \beta; \\ 1, 1 + \alpha; \end{matrix} ; x \right] = \frac{1}{n!} \phi_n(x) \quad \dots (2.26)$$

where $\phi_n(x)$ [10; P. 235 (12)] reduces to simple Laguerre polynomials for $\alpha = \beta$.

(xvii) On setting $k = 0 = u = v; h = 1 = e_3 = x_3 = \lambda_2; \lambda_3 = -1; A_1 = 1 + \lambda$, and $\frac{1}{y}$ for y we get

$$S_n \left(1, \frac{1}{y} \right) = \frac{(1 + \lambda)_n (-1)^n}{n!} {}_1F_1 \left[\begin{matrix} -n; \\ -\lambda - n; \end{matrix} ; -y \right] = A_n^{(\lambda)}(y) \quad \dots (2.27)$$

where $A_n^{(\lambda)}(y)$ are the Srivastava's polynomials [11].

(xviii) If we take $k = 0 = u = v; p = 1 = e_3 = x = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3; A_1 = -\lambda$, and $\frac{1}{x}$ for y , we get

$$S_n\left(1, \frac{1}{x}\right) = \frac{(-\lambda)_n}{n!} {}_1F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -n; \\ 1 + \lambda - n; \end{matrix} x\right] = f_n(x) \quad \dots (2.28)$$

where $f_n(x)$ [10; P. 245 (12)] are the Pseudo-Laguerre polynomials.

(ix) On making the substitutions $h = 0 = u; k = 1 = v = e_3 = y; B_1 = \lambda + \frac{1}{2} = D_1; \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2} = \lambda_3$ and writing

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{x+1}{x-1} \text{ for } x \text{ and } y, \text{ we get} \\ & \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\right)_n (2\lambda)_n S_n\left(\frac{x+1}{x-1}, \frac{x+1}{x-1}\right) \\ & = \frac{(x-1)^{-n} (2\lambda)_n \left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)^n}{n!} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} -n, -\frac{1}{2} - \lambda - n; \\ \lambda + \frac{1}{2}; \end{matrix} \frac{x-1}{x+1}\right] \\ & = (x-1)^{-n} C_n^\lambda(x) \quad \dots (2.29) \end{aligned}$$

Where $C_n^\lambda(x)$ are the Gegenbauer polynomials.

REFERENCES

- I. Abdul Halim, N and Al-Salam, W.A. (1964)-A Characterization of Laguerre Polynomial, Rend, Sem, University Padova 34, 176-179.
- II. Braganza, F. (1955): A relation between ultra spherical and Jacobi polynomial sets, Canadian, J. Math. 5, 301-305.
- III. Bragg, L.R. (1968): Products of certain generalized Hermite Polynomials; Associated relations, Boll. Univ. Math. Ital. Ser. (4) I. (347-355).
- IV. Burchinal, J.L. and Chaundy, T.W. (1941): Expansions of Apell's double Hypergeometric function (II), Quart. J. Math. Oxford ser, 12, 112-128.
- V. Erdily, A. et al (1953): Higher transcendental functions, vol. I, II and III, Bbateman Manuscript project California Inst. of Technology.
- VI. Gould, H.W. and Hopper, A.T. (1962): Operational formulas connected two generalization of Hermit polynomials Duke Math. J. 29, 51-63.
- VII. Humbert, P (1923): Sur certain polynomes orthogonaux. R.C. Acad. Sci. Patis, 196, 1282-1284.
- VIII. Khanna, I.K. (1968): Thesis on unification of certain classical polynomials, Banaras Hindu University.
- IX. Panda, R. (1967): Thesis on "A generalized Polynomials $An(x)$ " B.H.U.
- X. Rainville, E.D. (1960): Special functions, Mac Millan Co., New York.
- XI. Srivastava, K.N. (1964): Some polynomials related to the Laguerre Polynomials, Jour. Indian Math. Soc. Vol. 28, No. -02, 43-50.
- XII. Shah, M.L. (1970): On some relations involving generalized Sister-Celine's polynomials, Bul. St. Tehn. Politehn. Timisoara. Mat. Fiz-Mec. Theoret. Apl. 15(29), Fase. 2, 103-114.